

1935/3.44



My dear Mr. Brooker

Thanks for yours and the enclosed, which I return herewith, having one from the same source. I did not reply to you last as to P. G. Mohr, thinking it probable when you were in the city you may wish to see personally; and further, ^{perhaps send} written the P. G. Mohr: a few days ago, referring to the resignation of Mr. Knight; I was a little anxious to know what who was thought for that side of the Province. In his reply he writes "There is no one who would give greater satisfaction than for I as such as P. G. Mohr." I further "when I was at Great Ferry (that Phillips asked who were the likely men, as he said he sometimes had an opportunity of putting in a word at head quarters; and I told him I knew no one so eligible as Sir Edmund —" I sincerely quote these as evidence of the disposition of the

Resolved every from Worcester

there he was very anxious &

not to participate with any

infirmities with the persons

opponents of the present system

In 1752 the first year he in view

was appointed as Professor of

in that the General has been

has a large number of students

not only in Massachusetts but

in 1792 John Jay sent a letter of

the President from of John Jay

from London in the month of

after was in the month of

Dr. M. in the month of

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were

the month of 1786, but we were